

Solutions to problem set for IDEA League QI School
Control and coherence of semiconductor spin qubits
H. Bluhm, RWTH Aachen

Exercise 1: Quantum dot energy scales

(8 Points)

1. The eigenenergies of the z -dynamics are given by the particle-in-a-box solutions:

$$E_n = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2 n^2}{2m^* d_z^2}.$$

The energy difference between first and second state is thus:

$$E_2 - E_1 = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2m^* d_z^2} (4 - 1) = 160 \text{ meV} = k_B \cdot 1900 \text{ K}$$

with $m^* = 0.07m_e$.

2. The energy spacing of a harmonic oscillator is given by $\hbar\omega = \hbar\sqrt{k/m^*}$. With $k = 2 \cdot 2 \text{ meV}/(20 \text{ nm})^2$, one obtains $\hbar\omega = 3.3 \text{ meV} = 38 \text{ K}$. A reasonable measure of the total width Δx is twice the rms-width of the harmonic oscillator ground state, i.e., $\Delta x = 2\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m^*\omega}} = 26 \text{ nm}$. The ground state wave function is a Gaussian, the first excited state its derivative. Note 1: The transverse level splitting in GaAs quantum dots used as spin qubits is typically somewhat smaller (about 1 meV) and the wave functions are correspondingly wider. Note 2: For simplicity we have only discussed one coordinate. For a 2D quadratic potential, the x and y degrees of freedom decouple (for an appropriate rotation of the coordinate system) and the respective energies add.
3. The electrostatic potential anywhere outside a homogeneously charge sphere with charge e at distance r from the center is


$$V(r) = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_r r}.$$

Hence, bringing an electron from far away to the surface of the sphere costs an energy of $8.5 \text{ meV} = 98 \text{ K}$ ($5.5 \text{ meV} = 64 \text{ K}$) for $r = 13 \text{ nm}$ (20 nm) Note: In practice, typical charging energies of GaAs quantum dots are again about a factor of two smaller. One aspect we have neglected here is the presence of metallic gates, which cuts off the potential at a finite distance.

4. The interdot coupling energy is given by the Coulomb energy of two electrons 180 nm apart:

$$E_c = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_r} \frac{e^2}{d} = 610 \text{ } \mu\text{eV} = k_B \cdot 7.1 \text{ K}.$$


5. Diagram taken from Figure 2 of van er Wiel et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, p. 1 (2003).



The interdot coupling determines how much the transitions in one dot depend on the occupancy of the other, e.g., the distance between the (0, 1)-(0, 2) and the (1, 1)-(1, 2) transitions. Consequently, it also sets the length of the (1, 1)-(0, 2) boundary. (Numbers in parenthesis indicate the occupancy of the left and right dot.)

Exercise 2: Energy diagram of the charge qubit (8 Points)

1. Diagram taken from Figure 18 of van er Wiel et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, p. 1 (2003):



2.

$$H_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{\Delta}{2}\sigma_x.$$

The first term corresponds to the detuning with energy difference ϵ between $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$, the second term tunneling between these states. $\Delta = 2t_{12}$ in the diagram.

3. According to first order perturbation theory, the change in energy with a small variation $\delta\epsilon$ in detuning is $\delta E_i = \langle \psi_i | \delta H | \psi_i \rangle$ with H_0 as in the previous part and

$$\delta H = \frac{\delta\epsilon}{2} \sigma_z.$$

This leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_i &= \frac{\delta\epsilon}{2} \langle \psi_i | \sigma_z | \psi_i \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \frac{dE_i}{d\epsilon} &= \frac{\delta E_i}{\delta\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi_i | \sigma_z | \psi_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_z \rangle &= \langle \psi_i | \sigma_z | \psi_i \rangle = \langle \psi_i | L \rangle \langle L | - | R \rangle \langle R | \psi_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_i | L \rangle^2 + \underbrace{\langle \psi_i | R \rangle^2}_{=1 - \langle \psi_i | L \rangle^2} \\ &= 2\langle \psi_i | L \rangle^2 - 1 \\ \Rightarrow \langle \psi_i | L \rangle^2 &= \frac{\langle \sigma_z \rangle + 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the following expression for the probability of being in a $|L\rangle$ state:

$$\langle \psi_i | L \rangle^2 = \frac{dE_i}{d\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

The slope of the energies thus reflects the probabilities of the eigenstates being on the left or right. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_i}{d\epsilon} = 0 &\Rightarrow \langle \psi_i | L \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \text{half } |L\rangle, |R\rangle \\ \frac{dE_i}{d\epsilon} > 0 &\Rightarrow \langle \psi_i | L \rangle^2 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \text{more } |L\rangle \\ \frac{dE_i}{d\epsilon} < 0 &\Rightarrow \langle \psi_i | L \rangle^2 < \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \text{more } |R\rangle \text{ in } |\psi_i\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

4. The variance of the capacitor voltage V_C in thermal equilibrium can be obtained from the equipartition theorem:

$$\langle V_c^2 \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{C} = (1.2 \mu\text{V})^2.$$

($C = 1$ pF is the capacitance. Alternatively, one could also determine the spectrum of V_c arising from Nyquist-Johnson noise in the resistor and integrate over it.) The

r.m.s.-variation of the qubit detuning is thus $\delta\epsilon = 0.12 \mu\text{eV}$ due to the capacitive lever arm of 1/10. The correlation time τ_c is just the RC time constant, giving $\tau_c = 1 \mu\text{s}$.

Assuming that the voltage is quasistatic ($\tau_c \gg$ evolution times considered), we can use the relation $T_2^* = \frac{\hbar\sqrt{2}}{\delta\epsilon} = 7.8 \text{ ns}$ (c.f. slide 77 of the lectures). Since we find $T_2^* \ll \tau_c$, the quasistatic approximation is justified for all times of interest, and we are facing a T_2^* -effect. The corresponding coherence decay law is

$$\rho_{01}(t) = \rho_{01}(0)e^{-\left(\frac{t}{T_2^*}\right)^2}$$

Exercise 3: Filter function of the free induction decay

(6 Points)

As discussed in the lecture,

$$\langle \delta\phi^2(t) \rangle_\beta = \left\langle \left(\int_0^t dt \beta(t) \right)^2 \right\rangle_\beta,$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_\beta$ indicates averaging over the realizations of the noise process $\beta(t)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta\phi^2(t) \rangle &= \int_0^t dt' \int_0^t dt'' \langle \beta(t')\beta(t'') \rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} S_\beta(\omega) \frac{F(\omega t)}{\omega^2}. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the last line, we have substituted

$$\langle \beta(t')\beta(t'') \rangle = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega(t''-t')} S_\beta(\omega)$$

and defined the so called filter function

$$\begin{aligned} F(\omega t) &= \frac{\omega^2}{2} \int_0^t dt' \int_0^t dt'' e^{i\omega(t''-t')} \\ &= \frac{\omega^2}{2} \left| \int_0^t dt' e^{i\omega t'} \right|^2 \\ &= 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega t}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$